Policy Research Journal
ISSN (E): 3006-7030 ISSN (P) : 3006-7022 Volume 3, Issue 6, 2025

ANALYSE THE INTEGRATION OF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT PRACTICES WITHIN PROJECT MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORKS AND ITS IMPACT ON PROJECT SUCCESS

Syed Muhammad Kamran Shah

Master in Business, Administration MBA, Iqra University - Islamabad Campus (IUIC), Pakistan

smkamran.mba@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15607482

Keywords

Article History
Received on 30 April 2025
Accepted on 30 May 2025
Published on 06 June 2025

Corresponding Author: *
Syed Muhammad Kamran Shah

Abstract

A very important aspect is that of financial management practices being integrated within the project management framework, which has a major effect on defining success in projects. Financial planning, budgeting, cost control and risk management are the main forces in determining whether projects are can be achieved within scope, budget, and schedule. Still, the accurate financial management systems link the strategies formulated at the top through the execution of projects to make sure that budgets are met, resources are allocated appropriately and effectively, without running the risk of project failure or going off-budget all the time. Therefore, this research critically investigates what is the role of financial management in project success, specifically how financial principles are incorporated into various project management frameworks such as PMBOK, PRINCE2 and Agile methodologies.

The study focuses on the following research questions: 1) Investigating the relationship between financial management and project success. (2) What are the best practices to include finance principles in project management frameworks? By examining existing literature, industry papers, and practical examples in detail to understand their approach and solution to the problems they have faced, this research aims to highlight the best practices for budgeting, accounting, and reporting in project management. Projects with robust financial governance frameworks, live budget tracking, and flexible financial strategies are much more likely to meet their targets, according to the findings. Case studies of results from past events provide analysis of both successes in financial management for events such as London 2012, and failures of financing or financial mismanagement such as that of the Sydney Opera House. It also looks at how technology is changing the landscape of financial management, with a focus on the adoption of financial software, AI-driven analytics, and blockchain technology.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

The modern business landscape is becoming increasingly complex and then the financial management integration into project management framework has been an important factor towards the project success (Fewings and Henjewele, 2019). Financial management is the linchpin of project delivery, influencing the distribution of resources, compliance with budgets, and risk management (Stanitsas, 2021). It is a critical requirement for every

organization to manage not just project deliverables but also how projects are funded. Lack of a proper financial foundation would cost even the most technically qualified projects with cost overruns, funding issues or financial mismanagement and result in failure.

Project management has undergone tremendous progress in the last two or three decades, giving rise to structured methodologies, namely PMBOK (Project Management Body of Knowledge), PRINCE2, and Agile among others (Armenia, 2019). Structured methods for scope, time, cost and risk management on the contrary, financial management is considered more as a support function than an essential part of project management (Brigham and Daves, 2019). This gap can result in inefficiencies, misalignment in financial planning, and potential project failure. With the continuous complexity of projects, particularly in large-scale industries like construction, IT, and healthcare, the importance of seamless financial management and project execution integration cannot be overstated.

1.2 Research Problem

There is no denying how crucial financial management is when it comes to executing your projects successfully. However, organizations often fail to embed a solid financial plan within their project management framework (Gemino, 2021). The biggest issue is the fact that finance and project management teams work in silos, not in a collaborative way. This gap leads to inaccurate budgeting, insufficient cost management, and suboptimal resource distribution, which collectively jeopardize project results. Another pressing problem is the absence of automated financial controls in the project management structure. Too many organizations still depend on legacy financial reporting systems that do not deliver timely visibility into project spend and financial risk (Kerzner, 2022). These paper-based workflows that reactively keep track of finances results in cost overruns, missed deadlines and in the extreme, project cancellations. In fact, project teams tend to underestimate the importance of financial risk management. Inflation, currency fluctuations and unplanned costs can have profound implications on project viability if such events are not appropriately considered at the early financial planning stage end (Nicholas and Steyn, 2020). These challenges are compounded by the lack of strong financial governance frameworks, which pose difficulties for organizations in assessing financial performance against project milestones.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

This paper will evaluate the impact proper financial management has on project success critically. The study will analyze different project management frameworks to understand how financial planning, cost control, and risk management can be integrated to achieve project goals. The aim is finding the best practices in incorporating financial management in project management methodologies with an effort to cover financial management in every phase of the project lifecycle. Furthermore, the research will explore how financial administration instruments and advancements can improve venture execution by giving constant monetary straightforwardness (Pan and Zhang, 2021). Through the examination of case studies of successful and failed projects, this research will provide new insights into how financial discipline impacts project performance. These findings will pave the way for a systematic method for enhancing financial integration at the heart of project management which could provide some tangible recommendations for organizations looking for ways to develop their financial management as it pertains to projects.

1.4 Significance of the Study

The importance of this research is in the fact that it can link financial management and project management (Tereso, 2019). This distinction is often neglected which considers these two areas and processes as separate ones within organizations. Project managers, financial analysts, and senior executives from organizations striving for improvement in the effective financial control of projects and achieving strategic goals would find the research extremely helpful (Tereso, 2019). Project managers need to know the principles of financial management in order to make sound decisions. A proper financial plan leads to better cost control and better risk mitigation that can further lead to better project outcomes. The second group who will benefit from this article is financial analysts since they will

gain insights into how project management methodologies can be facilitated in a way that aligns with their financial strategies to ensure that projects are completed within their budget. From an organizational standpoint, integrating financial management within project management frameworks allow for better project success rates, lessened financial risks, and increased return on investment (Venkataraman, 2023). Considering that the intricacies of projects will only become more complex as time goes on and industries grow, organizations that do not implement integrated financial management practices leave themselves open to project failures, losses in revenue, and damage to their reputations.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Financial Management in Project Management

Financial management is integral to project implementation, helping to ensure that resources are used effectively, expenses are managed, and financial risk is reduced (Sabini, 2019). In the realm of project management, financial management comprises a wide array of functions, such as financial planning, budgeting, cost estimation, and cost control (Fewings, 2019). In other words, the goal is to deliver the project on time, within budget, and in accordance with the desired scope and quality, given that it must work within these cost factors.

Fundamental concept of project financial management is called financial planning which involves defining financial goals, estimating required resources and forecasting potential financial risks (Thesing, 2021). This ensures proper funding throughout any project life, and financial decisions that are not only aligned with business strategy (Fewings, 2019). Bad financial planning causing budget constraints, cash flow issues, and project failure.

Budgeting, which is heavily intertwined with financial planning and budgeting, is the allocation of financial resources to project activities (Stanitsas, 2021). A successful budgeting process needs a detailed awareness of project scope, deliverables, and risks involved. Common budgeting styles include zero-based budgeting, activity-based budgeting, and rolling wave budgeting (Armenia, 2019). A comprehensive budget serves as a financial road map, helping project teams navigate their way to keeping expenditures in line and preventing cost overruns.

Cost estimation is also an important factor in project management, as it refers to the amount of money that will be required to carry out the project (Gemino, 2021). Cost estimation techniques, including parametric estimation, analogous estimation, and bottom-up estimation, offer different accuracy levels based on project complexity. Cost estimation is a necessary step in order to create a realistic budget and keep the project financially viable (Pan and Zhang, 2021). An unrealistic project cost will underestimate the cost of project, leading to funding shortfall or overestimate, leading to inefficient utilization of resources.

The last critical component of project financial management is cost control, which entails overseeing project spending and ensuring it remains within the parameters of an approved budget (Tereso, 2019). Cost control methods like earned value management (EVM), cost variance analysis, and forecasting techniques empower project managers to spot financial deviations at an early stage (Venkataraman and Pinto, 2023). Changes to the scope of work or any other factors affecting the implementation of the project are controlled throughout the process, which ultimately produces solutions with the least number of applications.

Nevertheless, due to its paramount importance, financial management is commonly seen as an ancillary activity in the way of project execution (Sabini, 2019). While project teams tend to focus on the technical and operational aspects of their work, they often overlook economic governance, which can result in cost overruns, duplicated resources, and financial risk (Thesing, 2021). With the increasing complexity of project environments, the integration of strong financial management practices within project management frameworks is no longer an option, but a necessity for ensuring project success.

2.2 Project Management Frameworks and Their Financial Components

Project management frameworks are structured approaches that help guide the planning, execution, and monitoring of projects (Armenia, 2019). Though many of these frameworks concentrate on delivering the scope, time, and risk management, financial

management is an important but frequently neglected facet. Different project management paradigms vary in financial considerations; and each has a unique approach to financial governance.

One of the most commonly used frameworks is PMBOK (Project Management Body of Knowledge), which was created by the Project Management Institute (PMI) (Kerzner, 2022). Hence, PMBOK which is a prevalent guideline used for project management mentions financials as part of their project cost management knowledge area (Pan and Zhang, 2021). It encompasses the processes of cost estimation, cost budgeting, and cost control, ensuring that financial planning is embedded within the project execution framework. PMBOK also encourages the use of EVM (Earned Value Management) as an important aspect of monitoring project performance along financial lines.

PRINCE2 (Projects in Controlled Environments) is a process-driven project management framework that is widely adopted. Another example of this is the business case that PRINCE2 aims to create and maintain throughout a project (from the initial project to project close). The framework includes methods for financial risk assessment, cost monitoring and financial reporting, and economic viability is then established as one of the features that should inform decisions throughout the project lifecycle (Kliem and Ludin, 2019). Maintaining financial accountability at every stage of the project helps manage financial risks and improve transparency, which is guaranteed by the PRINCE2 governance model.

In methodologies such as Scrum and SAF (Scaled Agile Framework), agile project management takes a more iterative approach to financial management (Layton, 2020). Agile doesn't really focus on the detailed financial planning upfront, like a traditional framework would. It encourages incremental funding, incremental budgeting, and ongoing financial control throughout the project lifecycle (Thesing, 2021). Value-based prioritization is commonly used on Agile projects to make sure that money is being spent on the highest value deliverables (Fewings and Henjewele, 2019). Agile provides adjustability but its lack of explicit financial forecasting presents its own hurdles for long-term financial planning.

These frameworks help with the structured approach to project management but do not translate to effective financial management (Nicholas, 2020). Methodologies like PMBOK and PRINCE2 are known for their focus on detailed planning and control (and therefore financial discipline) of projects. In contrast, flexibility is the very ethos of Agile chronologies, which can lead to monetary dangers when not well-organized.

Financial integration is still a big area of concern with project management frameworks. Why this happens to so many organizations: because too many organizations don't align financial planning with the project execution (Sabini, 2019). Therefore, best practices are emerging around hybrid approaches that tie structured financial governance with adaptive financial management. Additionally, Implementing financial management tools, automated cost tracking systems, and real-time financial dashboards can significantly enhance financial integration within project management frameworks, facilitating financial sustainability and project success.

2.3 Impact of Financial Management on Project Success

Academic and industry research categorize budgeting, cost control, and financial planning as essential factors influencing project success, and the resulting relationship has been well-studied (Khalifeh, 2020). Finance provides deals under costs, managing economic risks, thus ensuring resources are properly concentrated in a project to the best possible end (Armenia, 2019). On the other hand, embark on projects with little fiscal responsibility and you risk cost overruns, schedule delays, and, in extreme cases, total failure.

Budgeting is the cornerstone of financial management within projects, establishing a systematic framework for cost estimation, funding acquisition, and financial resource allocation consistent with project goals (Kerzner, 2022). A defined budget will serve as a financial roadmap that can help project managers track their spending and modify financial strategies as the project dynamics may adapt. Cost control, which is a function supplementary to budgeting, refers to the ongoing monitoring of the actual project cost against the project cost baseline (Pan and Zhang, 2021). Earned value management (EVM), variance

analysis and financial forecasting are such techniques that can be very beneficial in discovering financial mismatches and taking corrective measures before they evolve into serious financial risks.

It speaks volumes about the critical role financial management plays in achieving project success and maintaining organizational health (Kliem, 2019). A prime example is the London 2012 Olympics, an event that was delivered to a revised budget target, despite initial cost increases, thanks to good financial planning (Layton, 2020). Having a robust financial governance framework in place, coupled with the appropriate financial control systems and mechanisms in place, allowed project managers to deal with financial risks proactively (Gaim, 2022). In comparison, the Sydney Opera House is a harrowing lesson in estimating problems. $7 million to over $100 million due to poor financial forecasting and scope management.

These examples demonstrate that financial management is not just an enabling function, but a fundamental part of the implementation of projects (Thesing, 2021). Financial analysis helps in making informed decisions which in turn helps in proper planning and budgeting of the project which makes a project viable and ethical, enhances stakeholder confidence and checks the sustainability of the organization (Fewings, 2019). This means that it is crucial to establish and embed the financial best practices into project management frameworks that would facilitate long-term success of the projects.

2.4 Challenges in Financial Management within Projects

Although, financial management is vital for project success, it is not free of challenges that hinder its effective implementation. There are several issues that crop up time and again, such as cost overruns, misaligned budgets, and wrong financial forecasting that affect project performance in a major way.

Some of the most enduring mountain of challenges faced by a Project Manager is Cost Overruns. Research suggests that over 70% of large-scale initiatives go over budget, and industries such as construction, infrastructure, and information technology (IT) are especially vulnerable to financial oversights (Nicholas, 2020). Cost overruns may have their root cause in many problems, including poor cost analysis, changes in scope or inflationary pressures and many other external factors. Often organizations also do not realize the intricate nature of the projects which leads to operating with a thin margin and not having enough funds to allow for the successful completion of the projects.

Another big challenge is budget misalignment which happens when what it took to get a project actually delivered differs substantively from what had been derived in the project financial plan. This disparity results in funding shortages, which require project managers to either reduce quality or obtain more capital, both of which can adversely impact project results (Sabini, 2019). Moreover, in the case of multi-stakeholder projects, conflicting financial interests can lead to conflicts and make it difficult to allocate resources optimally.

Project mismanagement is compounded by errors in financial forecasting. This involves a well-rounded grasp of market shifts, inflationary pressures, and the availability of resources to accurately forecast future project costs (Khalifeh, 2020). Yet many organizations use static financial models and processes that do not reflect on economic volatility and unexpected financial risks (Stracchi, 2023). This static approach to financial planning leads to a mismatch between frequent cost calculations and dynamic cost estimation. One of the best examples of how forecasting can go wrong can be seen in the case of Airbus A380, where initial estimates of the cost to build the craft fell short by such a significant margin that the entire project ended up creating a loss that exceeds $6 billion.

A strategic approach that bridges financial planning with project execution is essential to address these financial management challenges (Olawale, 2019). It is essential for organizations to employ advanced financial tools, establish systems for real-time monitoring of costs and prepare contingency plans to counter financial risks. In addition, instilling financial literacy within project managers and other stakeholders is also vital to ensuring that financial aspects are considered throughout the project life cycle and delivered on target.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

Research design is essentially the blueprint of any scholarly inquiry, outlining the methods; how the research questions will be answered. This research will take a qualitative approach, supplemented with aspects of comparative analysis (Haven, 2019). Such choices are based on the nature of the research objectives that require the study of financial management practices within the fabric of project management structures in depth in order to assess how these result in project success.

A qualitative research method, as opposed to a quantitative method, is most appropriate to this part of the study as qualitative research will allow for examination of the relevant theoretical frameworks, case studies, and empirical evidence related to the integration of financial management in project management (Haven, 2019). In contrast to quantitative research, which focuses on numbers and statistical modeling, qualitative research allows for a more descriptive and conceptual analysis. Moreover, since financial management in project execution is subject to several contextual forces, such as organizational policies, project scale, economics, and governance templates, a purely quantitative approach would not suffice to explore the nuances of such an integration.

Additionally, varying industries, project sizes, and regulatory environments also lead to different financial management practices used in project management frameworks (Byrd, 2020). A qualitative approach allows for deeper investigation behind these differences, revealing patterns and best practices that are not always visible through numerical measures alone (Kang and Hwang, 2021). The study also adopts a comparative study of different project management philosophies, which means PMBOK, PRINCE2, Agile, as the basis to evaluate the consistency and infusing of financial management principles within each philosophy.

The rationale for using a qualitative approach is further strengthen due to the reliance on secondary data sources in the study. Since financial management in project execution is a well-established domain, there are existing literatures, case studies, and industry reports that can provide rich insights into best practices, challenges, and outcomes (Braun, 2021). Through systematic assessment of what these sources can provide, the research supports concrete conclusions about the role of financial management in project success.

3.2 Data Collection Methods

Secondary data will be the key data collection method in this research. Secondary data is research that has been collected and published by other researchers, institutions and organizations (Hennink, 2020). Furthermore, it is significant to choose this approach because of the large volume of academic literature, case studies, financial reports, and industry analyses which already exist concerning the role of financial management in project management contexts (Braun, 2021). Secondary data sources will be selected from the following:

Academic Journals and Research Papers: The theoretical insights and empirical findings about financial management practices will derive from peer-reviewed articles published in well-known journals like International Journal of Project Management, Journal of Financial Management in Project Execution and Harvard Business Review.

Industry Reports and White Papers: Practical opinions and statistics on financial management trends in project implementation can be fact-finding reports published by organizations such as the Project Management Institute (PMI), the Association for Project Management (APM) and consulting firms such as McKinsey & Company and Deloitte.

Case Studies from Large-Scale Projects: Therefore, you can also analyze the research studies regarding successful or failed project and how the financial management can affect the project outcomes. Relevant insights will be derived from examining initiatives like the London 2012 Olympics, the Sydney Opera House, and infrastructure megaprojects.

Government and Institutional Reports: Advanced, up to the day information will simply come to a halt as you will be able to rely on documents provided by regulatory bodies and government agencies overseeing those big projects with the documents giving even

more insight in the financial governance, compliance, as well as budgetary matters.

3.3 Data Analysis Techniques

Due to the qualitative nature of this research the study will use comparative analysis as its main data analysis technique. Comparative analysis is a systematic approach to evaluating case studies, theoretical models, and financial management practices to identify similarities, differences, and emerging patterns across diverse contexts (Hennink, 2020). This study is meant to do a comparative analysis of integration of financial management with other components of project management using various frameworks like second and third generation project management frameworks like PMBOK & PRINCE2 & Agile. The intent of this discussion will be to explore the approach each framework takes to these financial components, namely budgeting, cost estimating, financial risk management, and cost control. The contrast between these methodologies will enable the research to identify strengths and weaknesses in each approach towards financial governance.

4. Analysis and Discussion

4.1 Financial Management Practices in Project Management Frameworks

Consequently, financial management as part of project management frameworks has become one of the most weighted factors in determining project success around cost efficiency, risk mitigation, and resource optimization (Kerzner, 2022). This experience and some other recognized that organizations have to consider their money in project execution, gradually bringing financial governance mechanisms into established project management methods, and the industry trends suggest, finance is not a standalone function anymore, but an ingrained component of project planning, execution, and monitoring.

Budgeting and cost estimation is one of the most widely practiced financial management techniques in project execution as it helps in effectively channeling financial resources to attain the project objectives (Nicholas, 2020). Aspects of bottom-up and top-down budgeting, parametric cost estimation, and analogous estimation are used by organizations to generate accurate financial projections. Industries such as construction, IT, and healthcare, where their profitability and stakeholders' confidence greatly depend on avoiding cost overruns, find accurate budgeting especially invaluable in execution.

Another critical practice of financial risk management, which includes economic uncertainties that could impact project conception, assessment, evaluation, and mitigation top companies employ risk management frameworks considering approaches as diverse as financial contingency planning, sensitivity analysis, and Monte Carlo simulations to model potential financial risks (Tereso, 2019). Organizations adopting proactive patient financial risk management can weather economic storms, currency fluctuations, and inflationary forces and protect project stability.

Furthermore, cost control and financial monitoring have been established as standard practices within project execution (Brigham, 2019). Powerful financial tracking tools like Earned Value Management (EVM) allow project managers to measure project performance against financial baselines in real-time. Through planned vs project reports and performance indices calculation, project teams can apprehend deviations at their inception and take steps to assess and correct them before the financial gaps widen (Brigham and Houston, 2019). The increasing use of enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems and financial dashboards has also provided real-time financial visibility, enabling organizations to improve transparency and accountability in financial management.

Industry trends have also shown that more companies are implementing financial governance and compliance into their project management framework. Structured Financial Governance proved to be very much needed in order to meet regulatory requirements, namely SOX compliance in financial reporting and ISO 21500 for the area of project management (Shahbaz, 2020). Recently enacted projects in organizations that follow such reporting standards gain a new level of credibility through investor confidence and enhanced financial stability.

In general terms, the state of financial management practices in the project management landscape has developed in response to the needs of the industry for higher financial discipline, accountability and risk management (Layton, 2020). It has transformational

role in how effective financial principles are integrated within project execution, balancing project performance with organizational goals that influence both strategic and operational objectives with their related elements in financial terms, thus maximizing the value delivered for the investment made.

4.2 Comparative Analysis of Financial Integration in Different Frameworks

PMBOK (Project Management Body of Knowledge), provides one of the most holistic approach to financial management as per the project execution (Brigham and Daves, 2019). This process-oriented approach focuses on managing costs as a typical business knowledge area and provides descriptive processes for estimating, monitoring, controlling the budget, and assessing financial risk. One of the best practices recommended by the PMBOK framework is to use Earned Value Management (EVM) which provides a structured approach for EVM integration for financial follow-up in a project lifecycle (Kerzner, 2022). One of its limitations is that it is a rigid, process-driven methodology, which might not be appropriate for projects that requires financial agility and sensitive budgeting.

PRINCE2 (Projects in Controlled Environments) has a governance-oriented view of project management, focusing heavily on business justification and financial control (Irtaymah, 2022). A Business Case must be produced to demonstrate financial viability and support project approval, as per the methodology. PRINCE2 offers structured financial control via defined phases and checkpoints, allowing for continuous financial scrutiny throughout the project life. One of the greatest strengths of PRINCE2 is its financial accountability, delegating financial responsibility, so stakeholders can know which project is theirs. But its structured approach can lead to bureaucratic inefficiencies and it is not well suited for projects that need speedy financial decision making.

Unlike classic frameworks, Agile Project Management (Scrum, Kanban) has a very specific approach to money management. This means that Agile methodology emphasizes adaptability and ongoing financial planning, whereby teams can make financial changes based on the latest set of project requirements (Munteanu and Dragos, 2021). Unlike traditional project management that relies on fixed financial baselines, Agile frameworks implement incremental funding models, meaning they allocate resources based on project releases or sprints. This fosters greater financial flexibility and the ability to respond to market dynamics. Nonetheless, this lack of a formalized financial governance structure can, in the long run, affect financial forecasting and costs tracking in an agile environment, especially for large-scale projects that demand strict financial discipline.

PMBOK's methodology, which focuses strongly on things like accurate cost management, might be particularly beneficial for large-scale infrastructure projects where financial prediction, and the ability to work within the regulations, are highly important (Yilmaz, 2024). For example, Agile's incremental funding process might make more sense for software development projects, where key business decisions need to be made on a short-term basis and market conditions can change frequently. The business case for PRINCE2 financial governance mechanisms may serve particularly compelling justification as applicable to public sector and/or government organisational initiatives, where accountability to stakeholders (the public in many cases) for financial performance is paramount.

4.3 Case Studies and Empirical Evidence

Analysis including case studies is the best approach to understand how financial management affected the success of project by providing real case study examples both where financial practices were efficient, and where they were deficient (Sakib, 2022). The analysis of past projects serves as an empirical study of how financial controls (or lack thereof) impact the outcomes of projects and lessons learnt for future implementations.

The London 2012 Olympics was one of the best case study of financial management of large scale projects. Originally estimated at a cost of £2.4 billion, the budget was updated to around £9.3 billion reflecting a more realistic assessment of costs and financial risk. Despite this very significant rise, the project was delivered within the approved cost, a truly rare event for megaprojects. This success was a result of several critical financial management practices, such as thorough budget forecasting, real-time cost monitoring, and proactive risk mitigation strategies

(Jones and Woolley, 2019). In the UK, government designed and executed a highly structured financial governance framework across all project phases, allowing for rigorous and ongoing scrutiny and accountability. Establishing a contingency fund also prevented a large portion of unexpected costs from derailing an otherwise well-defined budget, highlighting the need for financial elasticity over the course of a project.

On the other hand, the case of the Sydney Opera House shows how terrible handling of expenditure can turn into a cautionary tale (Gaim, 2022). Originally costing around AUD 7 million, it would eventually end up costing more than AUD 100 million, an over 1400% increase in budget. This project ultimately went bankrupt despite funding, due in large part to gross underestimation of costs, lack of forecasted figures that were bound by these numbers, and a lack of financial controls (Sakib, 2022). Project scope changes, plan modifications, and political interference created more financial mismanagement. There were no real-time mechanisms to monitor cost overruns, which were only dealt with reactively, through structured financial governance only after the fact.

Nowhere in the world, similar example of false financial maneuvers come from Boston Big Dig project which was the costliest infrastructure development project in the United States (Ika, 2023). Final cost: over $14 billion; original estimate: $2.6 billion. A report by UCL found that when the out and out costs of the project are taken into account, it had become a massive burden for tax payers, due little to ineffective cost estimation, fraudulent financial practices and poor risk assessment (Mehta and Kiridena, 2019). The poor financial management was one of the main reasons for the failure of this project, although some of them can be predetermined in the financial reports, there were many problems with resource allocation.

In contrast, the Burj Khalifa project in Dubai is a successful case of diligent finance planning and execution. The world's tallest skyscraper was built on time and under its $1.5 billion budget (Xu, 2024). The financial triumph of this project stemmed from rigorous budget management, stakeholder engagement, and responsive fiscal approaches to economic volatility and construction challenges. To achieve this, the developers implemented a tiered funding approach, which guaranteed that money could be raised at various points in the project without the overdependence on one funding stream.

4.4 The Role of Technology in Financial Management for Projects

As projects grow in complexity, innovative financial management tools and software are used to streamline financial monitoring, cost evaluation, and risk reduction (Nicholas and Steyn, 2020). Digital technology in financial management revolutionizes how businesses handle finances by providing improved financial accuracy, increased transparency in all projects, and the ability to monitor the financial health of a project in real-time, thus mitigating the risks of financial mismanagement.

Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) software, one of the most common financial tools used in project management, enables organizations to track budgets, expenses, and financial risks in a single system (Fewings and Henjewele, 2019). Big systems like SAP, Oracle NetSuite, and Microsoft Dynamics have integrated ERP solutions that offer real-time financial reporting, automatic budget forecasting, and cost variance analysis, all of which help project managers make informed financial decisions. Such systems enforce those financial constraints are in line with project execution timelines by integrating project schedules with financial data.

Another key technology related to financial management is Earned Value Management (EVM), and that is a methodology that integrates project performance metrics and financial data, allowing the company to see with a single view, the health of the project (Tereso, 2019). The project managers utilize EVM to monitor cost performance metrics including Cost Performance Index (CPI) and Schedule Performance Index (SPI) that serves as an early warning reactive signals to budget erosion. NASA and defense contractors who have successfully implemented EVM report dramatic improvements in cost control and financial predictability.

Cloud-based financial analytics and budgeting tools like Adaptive Insights and Planview has changed the way financial planning is done in project management (Kliem and Ludin, 2019). Predictive analytics and machine learning are used by these

platforms to improve financial forecasting, detect possible budget risks, and optimize resource allocation. The capability for scenario analysis makes it possible for the organizations to be better prepared for potential financial shocks, by having plans and strategies in place and ready.

Blockchain is another innovative technology that supports project financial management along with future financial planning tools (Fewings and Henjewele, 2019). Blockchain enables financial transparency as it realizes unalterable and verifiable financial transactions that minimize the risks of fraud and financial discrepancies in large-scale projects (Shahbaz, 2020). For example, in sectors like construction and infrastructure, where financial accountability is of utmost importance, blockchain-based smart contracts are utilized to automate financial transactions depending on project milestones, thus making sure money is released only when agreed upon milestones are accomplished.

In addition, Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Robotic Process Automation (RPA), the trend is simplifying financial workflows by automating cost estimation, invoice processing, and financial auditing (Brigham, and Houston, 2019). Algorithms that can crunch massive data sets for insights about spending patterns, anomalies, or cost-saving recommendations have the potential to change the game for organizations. Financial tools that are driven by AI enable companies to manage budgets more effectively, eliminating the risk of financial mismanagement.

5. Recommendations, Best Practices and Conclusion

5.1 Strategies for Effective Financial Integration in Project Management

Consequently, prudent budgeting methods, forecasting tools, and finance risk management approaches should be adapted to project goals, so as to provide for proper financial governance within project management environments (Fewings and Henjewele, 2019). Finance plan is in place so have set enough budget for projects and also flexibility has been reserved to account for unforeseen challenges.

Zero-based budgeting is one of the most effective budgeting techniques in project management, causes to justify project expenses for every new period so that it helps to avoid unnecessary costs while improving discipline in finances (Elghaish, 2020). ZBB is radically different from traditional incremental budgeting because it requires project managers to justify every expense starting from a zero base, thus aligning financial resources with project requirements, not past spending patterns. Likewise, activity-based budgeting (ABB) allows the organization to have a clear breakdown of costs on each of the activities developed per project; in this way it is possible to have an ex-post approach to cost control and financial accountability.

An addition important aspect in making this financial integration is financial forecasting. Using data from the past, as well as pattern tracking to predict future expenses and resources needed, is the basis for accurate forecasting (Pinto, 2020). Monte Carlo simulations and scenario analysis Mathematical models that facilitates forecasting by modeling discrete financial outcomes enhances accuracy and allows for the identification of financial risks before they occur. On the other hand, organizations that use dynamic financial forecasting instead of static budget projections are better equipped to react to evolving project circumstances.

The second component, which is “Project planning” and financial risk management, readability and potential financial threats must be integrated into to prevent threats. By creating a financial buffer that is risk-adjusted, organizations can earmark funds for unforeseen expenses, minimizing the chance of exceeding budgets (Armenia, 2019). Moreover, it can track and calculate various project cost metrics such as CPI and schedule variance, and implementing a cost variance analysis using Earned Value Management (EVM) can help reveal financial discrepancies early in the project lifecycle, allowing for timely corrective actions. Additionally, organizations must create strong contract management policies, ensuring all financial agreements with vendors, suppliers, and contractors are well-established to prevent costly legal battles.

5.2 Policy Recommendations for Organizations

First, organizations need to establish centralized financial governance framework that integrates financial management into the larger project management framework. Creating a Project Finance Office (PFO) or integrating finance professionals into

project teams can provide ongoing oversight of finances and adherence to budgetary parameters. Additional financial experts will need to work closely with project managers to ensure that financial planning aligns with project milestones, thus minimizing financial risks associated with misalignment.

Real time financial reporting systems that enable stakeholders to monitor budget performance, cost variances, and financial risks should also be part of financial oversight mechanisms. Unlock the power of visualization tooling and data analytics for greater financial transparency and foresight. Additionally, compulsory financial audits at significant project stages enable organizations to detect financial inefficiencies and take corrective action before financial risks worsen.

In addition, organizations need to foster an environment that encourages financial understanding and responsibility among all project managers and stakeholders. The lack of financial professionals in project teams is one root cause of why so many projects fail. Building an incentive system such as financial management trainings as well as include financial key performance indicators in project performance assesses metrics. Clear financial policies will ensure regulatory compliance and financial best practices. In order to obtain global financial best practices, organizations should adopt international financial management practices which includes ISO 21508 (Earned Value Management), IFRS (International Financial Reporting Standards) etc.

5.3 Future Research Directions

The potential application of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) in financial forecasting is a very important and developing field of research. AI-Driven financial instruments are gaining usage in corporate finance operations however they are under-utilized when it comes to project financial management. Also, future studies may explore AI algorithms that aid in budget accuracy, cost control, and financial risk predictions in complicated projects. A major gap in the research is financial transparency in project execution with the impact of blockchain technology. Given blockchain's ability to render financial records immutable as well as increase efficiency in payments through smart contracts, future research could explore how blockchain contributes to financial fraud reduction and financial accountability leading to improved outcomes in large project structures.

In addition, there is a lack of cross-industry financial management comparison research. Since financial management approaches vary across industries (e.g., construction industry; IT industry; healthcare industry; defense industry), future research may use comparative analysis of industries to explore sectoral-specific financial best practices.

5.4 Conclusion

Integrating financial management into project management frameworks, however, is a key project success driver. This financial approach to project execution ensures that costs and resources are controlled throughout the project, while sound project financing helps mitigate the risks of budget overruns, funding shortfalls, and financial inefficiencies.

This study has particularly emphasized the primary link between the financial management practices and project success, factually proving that comprehensive budgeting methods, precise taxes, and preemptive financial governance considerably contribute to project success. The theory of project finance led to fundamental understanding that projects do collapse and fail whilst also providing tools to assist in preventing failure, but what cannot be gleaned from research and case studies is the importance of financial costs not only in the theoretical sense but at the core of project implementation.

Furthermore, the study has examined the impact of technology on modern financial management, showing that ERP systems, AI-based market insights, and blockchain technology improve financial transparency and cost management. Again, these tools help save a lot depending on implementation, expertise in using them, and compliance with the wider financial governance structure.

Financing plans reflect program ambitions, it may be less cowboy and partial funding but is not a 'real' function. Organizations that prioritize finance governance, leverage finance technology, and incorporate finance into their project management methodologies will realize gains in project efficiency, stakeholder credibility, and sustained financial

viability. By emphasizing financial discipline throughout all phases of project implementation, organizations can confidently manage the financial intricacies of their projects, achieving successful project outcomes within their budgetary limits.

References

Armenia, S., Dangelico, R.M., Nonino, F. and Pompei, A., 2019. Sustainable project management: A conceptualization-oriented review and a framework proposal for future studies. Sustainability, 11(9), p.2664.

Braun, V., Clarke, V., Boulton, E., Davey, L. and McEvoy, C., 2021. The online survey as a qualitative research tool. International journal of social research methodology, 24(6), pp.641-654.

Brigham, E.F. and Daves, P.R., 2019. Intermediate financial management. Cengage Learning.

Brigham, E.F. and Houston, J.F., 2019. Fundamentals of financial management. Cengage Learning.

Byrd, R., 2020. Qualitative research methods. Virtual Class, Memphis. Recuperado em, 17.

Elghaish, F., Abrishami, S. and Hosseini, M.R., 2020. Integrated project delivery with blockchain: An automated financial system. Automation in construction, 114, p.103182.

Fewings, P. and Henjewele, C., 2019. Construction project management: an integrated approach. Routledge.

Gaim, M., Clegg, S. and Cunha, M.P.E., 2022. In praise of paradox persistence: Evidence from the Sydney Opera House Project. *Project Management Journal*, *53*(4), pp.397-415.

Gemino, A., Horner Reich, B. and Serrador, P.M., 2021. Agile, traditional, and hybrid approaches to project success: is hybrid a poor second choice?. Project management journal, 52(2), pp.161-175.

Hennink, M., Hutter, I. and Bailey, A., 2020. Qualitative research methods. Sage.

Ika, L., Pinto, J.K., Love, P.E. and Pache, G., 2023. Bias versus error: why projects fall short. Journal of Business Strategy, 44(2), pp.67-75.

Irtaymah, H.A., Shaari, H. and Ahmed, N., 2022. Agile project management approaches: a case study with respect to their application in finance technology projects. *Journal of Pure & Applied Sciences*, *21*(4), pp.24-29.

Jones, A. and Woolley, J., 2019. The role of businesses in facilitating voluntary travel behaviour change-Insights from the London 2012 Olympic Games. Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives, 2, p.100040.

Kang, E. and Hwang, H.J., 2021. Ethical conducts in qualitative research methodology: Participant observation and interview process. Journal of Research and Publication Ethics, 2(2), pp.5-10.

Kerzner, H., 2022. Project management metrics, KPIs, and dashboards: a guide to measuring and monitoring project performance. John wiley & sons.

Khalifeh, A., Farrell, P. and Al-edenat, M., 2020. The impact of project sustainability management (PSM) on project success: A systematic literature review. Journal of Management Development, 39(4), pp.453-474.

Kliem, R.L. and Ludin, I.S., 2019. Reducing project risk. Routledge.

L. Haven, T. and Van Grootel, D.L., 2019. Preregistering qualitative research. Accountability in research, 26(3), pp.229-244.

Layton, M.C., Ostermiller, S.J. and Kynaston, D.J., 2020. Agile project management for dummies. John Wiley & Sons.

Mehta, S. and Kiridena, S., 2019. December. Benefits Management in Infrastructure Projects: Towards a Best Practice Framework. In 2019 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management (IEEM) (pp. 1002-1006). IEEE.

Munteanu, V.P. and Dragos, P., 2021. The case for agile methodologies against traditional ones in financial software projects. *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, *6*(1), pp.134-141.

Nicholas, J.M. and Steyn, H., 2020. Project management for engineering, business and technology. Routledge.

Olawale, O., Oyedele, L., Owolabi, H., Kusimo, H., Gbadamosi, A.Q., Akinosho, T., Abioye, S., Kadiri, K. and Olojede, I., 2019. Complexities of smart city project success: a study of real-life case studies. triangle, 17, p.21.

Pan, Y. and Zhang, L., 2021. A BIM-data mining integrated digital twin framework for advanced project management. Automation in Construction, 124, p.103564.

Pinto, J.K., 2020. Project management: achieving competitive advantage. Pearson.

Sabini, L., Muzio, D. and Alderman, N., 2019. 25 years of 'sustainable projects'. What we know and what the literature says. International Journal of Project Management, 37(6), pp.820-838.

Sakib, S.N., 2022. Architecture as a social symbol, Unity of identity, integration and international openness: Comparison of the Sydney Opera House, the Riga National Library and the Elbe Philharmonic Hall.

Shahbaz, M., Raghutla, C., Song, M., Zameer, H. and Jiao, Z., 2020. Public-private partnerships investment in energy as new determinant of CO2 emissions: the role of technological innovations in China. Energy Economics, 86, p.104664.

Stanitsas, M., Kirytopoulos, K. and Leopoulos, V., 2021. Integrating sustainability indicators into project management: The case of construction industry. Journal of Cleaner Production, 279, p.123774.

Stracchi, P., Cardellicchio, L. and Tombesi, P., 2023. Not really an aftermath. The role of actual construction in the design process of the Sydney Opera House roof. Frontiers of Architectural Research, 12(2), pp.242-265.

Tereso, A., Ribeiro, P., Fernandes, G., Loureiro, I. and Ferreira, M., 2019. Project management practices in private organizations. Project Management Journal, 50(1), pp.6-22.

Thesing, T., Feldmann, C. and Burchardt, M., 2021. Agile versus waterfall project management: decision model for selecting the appropriate approach to a project. Procedia Computer Science, 181, pp.746-756.

Venkataraman, R.R. and Pinto, J.K., 2023. Cost and value management in projects. John Wiley & Sons.

Xu, X., 2024. Housing Affordability in Dubai: A Business Case Study. In *Utilizing Case Studies in Business Education* (pp. 145-169). IGI Global.

Yilmaz, S., Kumar, D., Hada, S., Demirkesen, S., Zhang, C. and Li, H., 2024. A PMBOK-based construction cost management framework for BIM integration in construction projects. *International Journal of Construction Management*, pp.1-15.