CLOSE-TO-CONVEXITY OF q-GENERALIZED DINI FUNCTION

Muhammad Rizwan Wahala *1, Muhammad Nawazish Zaman², Muhammad Imran³, Muhey U Din⁴, Saima Mushtaq⁵

> *1,2,3,5 Faculty of Sciences, The Superior University Lahore, Pakistan ⁴Government Islamia Graduate College, Faisalabad, Pakistan

^{*1}rizwanwahala@gmail.com, ²nawazishzaman5@gmail.com, ³imran12121@outlook.com, 4muheyudin@vahoo.com, 5saimstar20@vahoo.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15107092

Keywords

Analytic function, Star like function, Close-to-Convexity, q-Generalized Dini Function

Article History

Received on 19 February 2025 Accepted on 19 March 2025 Published on 29 March 2025

Copyright @Author Corresponding Author: *

Abstract

Geometric Function Theory (GFT) is a branch of complex analysis that focuses on the geometric properties of analytic and harmonic functions. It is closely connected to the study of special functions, which act as an important part in GFT. In this work, we investigate the close-to-convexity of the ageneralized Dini function concerning star-like functions. Additionally, several related consequences stemming from the main results are discussed.



INTRODUCTION

Suppose B which show the forms of class function

$$l(\mathfrak{x}) = \mathfrak{x} + \sum_{t=2}^{\infty} a_t \mathfrak{x}^t \tag{1}$$

within the open unit disk, the functions in question be analytical denoted as $\mathfrak{D} = \{\mathfrak{x}: |\mathfrak{x}| < 1\}$. Let C represent a subgroup of B, consisting functions of univalent defined in \mathfrak{D} . For $0 \le \alpha < 1$, it is possible to define the groups of star-like and close-to-convex function of order α analytically in \mathfrak{D} as $\mathcal{C}^*(\alpha) =$ $\{l: l \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } \mathbb{R}e\left(\frac{\mathfrak{x}f^{'}(\mathfrak{x})}{h(\mathfrak{x})} > \alpha, h \in \mathcal{C}^*\right),$ represents the class of star-like functions [21]. In simpler terms, $C^*(0) = C^*$ which refers to the well-known class of star-like functions, and similarly, $k_h(0) = k_h$ are most close group of star-like and close-to-convex functions, in that order [19].

We now provide some fundamental concepts and explanations regarding q-calculus [18]. The definition of q-number $[t]_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for $\mathfrak{q} \in (0,1)$,

$$[t]_{\mathfrak{q}} = egin{cases} rac{1-\mathfrak{q}^t}{1-\mathfrak{q}}, \ t \in \mathcal{C}, \ \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \mathfrak{q}^i, \ t \in N. \end{cases}$$

Also, the q-factorial $[t]_q!$ is given by

$$[t]_{q}! = 0, \qquad [t]_{q}! = \prod_{i=0}^{t} [i]_{q}. \qquad t \in N.$$

Assume $b, q \in \mathcal{C}$ (|q| < 1) and $t \in \mathbb{N}_{\circ} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then the q-shifted factorial $(b; q)_t$ is describe by $(b;\mathfrak{q})_0=1,$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{t} (1 - bq^{i-1}), \qquad t \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$x \in \mathcal{C} - \{-t: t \in \mathbb{N}_0\}. \text{ Then q-Gamma}$$

Suppose function is stated by

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}(x) = \frac{(\mathfrak{q}; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty}}{(\mathfrak{q}^x; \mathfrak{q})_{\infty}} (1 - \mathfrak{q})^{1 - x}, \qquad 0 < \mathfrak{q} < 1.$$

The q-derivate operator $\mathcal{D}_{q}l$ of a function l is explained in a given subgroup of \mathcal{C} , by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathcal{D}_{q}l\right)(\mathfrak{x}) \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{l(\mathfrak{x}) - l(q\mathfrak{x})}{\mathfrak{x}(1-q)}, & \mathfrak{x} \neq 0, \\
& l'(0), & \mathfrak{x} = 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The given l'(0) is present. So easily we can analyze from the above equation (2) that $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}l)_{\lim \mathfrak{q} \to 1^{-}}(\mathfrak{x}) = l'(\mathfrak{x})$.

By utilizing the q-derivative operator $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{q}}l$, the classes $S_{\mathbf{q}}^*$ and $k_{\mathbf{q},h}$ of q-starlike and q-close-to-convex functions are described as follows:

[1]: A function $l \in B$ is said to be in the set S_{α}^* if

$$\left| \frac{\mathfrak{x}}{l(\mathfrak{x})} \left(\mathcal{D}_{q} l \right) (\mathfrak{x}) - \frac{1}{1 - \mathfrak{q}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \mathfrak{q}}, \qquad \mathfrak{x}$$

$$\in \mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{q} \in (0, 1). \tag{3}$$

[2]. A function $l \in B$ is used in set $k_{q,h}$ if here a star-like function h such as

$$\left| \frac{\mathfrak{x}}{h(\mathfrak{x})} \left(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{q}} l \right) (\mathfrak{x}) - \frac{1}{1 - \mathfrak{q}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \mathfrak{q}}, \qquad \mathfrak{x} \\ \in \mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{q} \in (0, 1). \tag{4}$$

It is stated that, when $q \to 1^-$, the sets S_q^* and $k_{q,h}$ decrease—the most familiar groups S^* —and k_h respectively, of star-like and close-to-convex functions [16].

In both pure and applied mathematics heavily rely on special functions [20]. In geometric function theory, these functions have made significant contributions, especially in resolving the well-known Bieberbach conjecture [17]. Researchers became interested in this application of special functions in function theory[10]. The geometric properties of several special function types are the subject of a large body of literature [14]. For example, the univalence and starlikeness of hypergeometric functions were investigated by Owa and Srivastava [3]. In order to investigate certain classes of univalent functions, Srivastava and Dziok [4,5] developed a convolution operator by employing a generalized hypergeometric function [6]

Special functions are mathematical functions that arise frequently in various areas of science and engineering, often as answers to integral or different equations [11]. They typically generalize the basic functions like trigonometric, exponential, and logarithmic functions, and play an essential role in fields such as physics, engineering, probability theory, and number theory [20].

Special functions model various physical systems, such as wave phenomena, heat conduction, and quantum mechanics. They are widely used in signal processing, control theory, and electrical engineering for solving complex system equations.

The study of special functions has seen continuous development since the 18th century, with Carl Friedrich Gauss playing a major role in shaping this ongoing effort toward a unified theory of special functions [20].

1. Q generalized Dini function:

Let $a \in \mathcal{R}^+$; the q-generalized Dini function $\psi^a_{v,b,c}(\mathfrak{x};\mathfrak{q})$ is describe by

$$\psi_{v,b,c}^{a}(\mathfrak{x};\mathfrak{q}) = (a-v)w_{v,b,c} (\sqrt{\mathfrak{x}};\mathfrak{q}) + \sqrt{\mathfrak{x}}w_{v,b,c}(\mathfrak{x};\mathfrak{q}),$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\mathfrak{q};\mathfrak{q})_{k-1}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-c)^{t}(1-\mathfrak{q})^{\left(\frac{b-1}{2}\right)} (a+2t)^{\left(\frac{x}{4}\right)^{(t+\left(\frac{v}{2}\right))}}}{(\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{q}^{k};\mathfrak{q})_{t}}$$

because the function $\psi^a_{v,b,c}(r;\mathfrak{q})$ determined by that is not from set A, We examine the \mathfrak{q} -generalized Dini function in the normalized version shown below, $\psi^a_{v,b,c}(\mathfrak{x};\mathfrak{q}): U \to \mathcal{C}$, as

$$\psi^{a}_{v,b,c}(\mathfrak{x};\mathfrak{q}) = \frac{2^{v}(\mathfrak{q};\mathfrak{q})_{k-1}}{a(1-\mathfrak{q})^{b-1/2}} \mathfrak{x}^{1-(\frac{V}{\mathfrak{x}})} \varphi^{a}_{v,b,c}(\mathfrak{x};\mathfrak{q})$$

$$= \mathfrak{x} + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \zeta_{t} \mathfrak{x}^{t+1},$$

Where

$$\xi_t = \frac{(-c)^t \ (a+2t)}{4^t \ (\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{q}^k \ ;\mathfrak{q})_t} \,.$$

We need following lemmas to prove our main results

Lemma 01:([2]) Let $l \in A$ and $D_0 = 0$, $D_1 = 1$ and (a_m) be a series of actual numbers in which

$$D_m = [m]_{\mathfrak{q}} a_m = \frac{a_m(1-\mathfrak{q})}{1-\mathfrak{q}}$$
, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \mathfrak{q} \in (0,1)$.

suppose,

$$1 \geq D_1 \geq D_2 \geq D_3 \geq$$
 , ... $\geq D_m \geq$, ... $\geq 0.$

Or

$$1 \leq D_1 \leq D_2 \leq D_3, \ldots \leq D_m \leq , \ldots \leq 2.$$

$$l(\mathfrak{x}) = \mathfrak{x} + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_m \, \mathfrak{x}^m \, \in k_{\mathfrak{q},h}$$
 ,

Where,

$$H(r) = \frac{\mathfrak{x}}{1-\mathfrak{x}} .$$

Lemma 02([6]) Consider (a_m) be a series of actual numbers in which,

$$D_m = \frac{a_m(1-\mathfrak{q}^m)}{1-\mathfrak{q}}$$
, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \mathfrak{q} \in (0,1)$.

Let

$$1 \ge D_3 \ge D_5 \ge D_7, \dots \ge D_{2m-1} \ge \dots \ge 0.$$

either

$$1 \le D_3 \le D_5 \le D_7, \dots \le D_{2m-1} \le , \dots \le 2.$$

At that point,

$$l(\mathfrak{x}) = \mathfrak{x} + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_{2m-1} \, \mathfrak{x}^{2m-1} \in k_{\mathfrak{q},h}$$
 , $H(r) = \frac{\mathfrak{x}}{1 - \mathfrak{x}^2}$.

Where

2. Main work:

Close-to-Convexity of q-Generalized Dini Function.

Consider

$$\psi_{v,b,c}^{a}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{x} + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} a_t \ \mathbf{x}^{t+1}$$
 $a \in \mathcal{R}^+$

Where

$$a_{t} = \frac{(-c)^{t} (a + 2t)}{4^{t} a(q, q^{k}; q)_{t}}$$
$$= \frac{(-c)^{t} (a + 2t)}{4^{t} a(q; q)_{t} (q^{k}; q)_{t}}$$

$$: (\mathfrak{q}; \mathfrak{q})_t \quad t \ge (1 - \mathfrak{q})^t$$

$$(\mathfrak{q}^{\alpha};\mathfrak{q})_n \geq (1-\mathfrak{q}^{\alpha})^t$$

At this step

$$f(\mathfrak{x}) = \frac{\mathfrak{x}}{1+\mathfrak{x}}$$

$$l(\mathfrak{x}) = \mathfrak{x} (1+\mathfrak{x})^{-1}$$

$$l(\mathfrak{x}) = \mathfrak{x} \{1 \cdot \mathfrak{x} + \mathfrak{x}^2 - \mathfrak{x}^3 + \mathfrak{x}^4\}$$

$$l(\mathfrak{x}) = \mathfrak{x} + \sum_{t=3}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \mathfrak{x}^t$$

By Convolution

$$D(\mathfrak{x},\mathfrak{q}) = \frac{r}{1+r} \qquad *\psi^a_{v,b,c}(\mathfrak{x};\mathfrak{q})$$
$$= \mathfrak{x} + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} a_t \ \mathfrak{x}^{n+1}$$

where $a_t = \frac{(-c)^t (a+2t)}{4^t a (a \cdot a) \cdot (a^k \cdot a)}$

Theorem 3.1. Let $a \ge 0$, $c \ge 0$ and

$$8\left(1-\mathfrak{q}^2\right)^2\left(1-\mathfrak{q}^{k+2}\right)\geq 0$$
 , $\mathfrak{q} \in (0,1)$

Then, $\psi^a_{v,b,c}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{q})$ q-Generalied Dini Function is close-to-convexity regarding star-like function

$$H(r) = \frac{\mathfrak{x}}{1-\mathfrak{x}}.$$

Proof. let

$$D_m = \frac{(1 - \mathfrak{q}^m)}{1 - \mathfrak{q}} a_m , \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \mathfrak{q} \in (0,1).$$

So that

$$D(\mathfrak{x};\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{x} + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} a_m \, \mathfrak{x}^m$$

$$a_m = \frac{(c)^{m-1} \, (a+2(m-1))}{4^{m-1} \, a \, (\mathfrak{q};\mathfrak{q})_{m-1} (\mathfrak{q}^k;\mathfrak{q})_{m-1}}$$

$$D_m = \frac{(1-\mathfrak{q}^m)}{1-\mathfrak{q}} \, \frac{(c)^{m-1} \, (a+2(m-1))}{4^{m-1} \, a \, (\mathfrak{q};\mathfrak{q})_{m-1} (\mathfrak{q}^k;\mathfrak{q})_{m-1}}$$

Put m=1

$$D_{1} = \frac{(1 - q^{1})}{1 - q} \frac{(c)^{1-1} (a + 2(1 - 1))}{4^{1-1} a (q; q)_{1-1} (q^{k}; q)_{1-1}}$$

$$D_{1} = \frac{(1 - q)}{1 - q} \frac{(c)^{0} (a + 2(0))}{4^{0} a (q; q)_{0} (q^{k}; q)_{0}}$$

$$D_{1} = 1$$

Put m=2

$$D_2 = \frac{(1-q)(1+q)}{1-q} \frac{(c) (a+2(1))}{4 a (q;q)_1 (q^k;q)_1}$$

By q-shifted factorial definition $\ e \in \mathcal{R}^+ \ or \ k \in \mathcal{R}^+$

Def
$$(e, q)_t = \prod_{k=0}^{t-1} (1 - eq) = (1 - e)(1 - eq)(1 - eq^2).....(1 - eq^{t-1})$$

$$(q; q)_1 = (1 - q)$$

$$(q^k; q)_1 = (1 - q^k)$$

$$(q; q)_m = (1 - q)(1 - q^2)(1 - q^3).....(1 - q^m)$$

$$(q^k; q)_m = (1 - q^k)(1 - q^{k+1})(1 - q^{k+2})...(1 - q^{k+m-1})$$

$$D_2 = (1 + q) \frac{(c) (a + 2)}{4 a (1 - q)(1 - q^k)}$$

$$\Rightarrow D_2 = \frac{(1 + q) (c) (a + 2)}{4 a (1 - q)(1 - q^k)} \leq 1.$$

We'll demonstrate it next.

$$D_{m+1} \leq D_m \quad (m \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\})$$

That's what this suggests.
$$\frac{(1-q^{m+1})}{1-q} \frac{(c)^{m+1-1} (a+2(m+1-1))}{4^{m+1-1} a (q;q)_{m+1-1} (q^k;q)_{m+1-1}} \leq \frac{(1-q^m)}{1-q} \frac{(c)^{m-1} (a+2(m-1))}{4^{m-1} a (q;q)_{m-1} (q^k;q)_{m-1}} (1-q^m) \frac{(c)^m (a+2(m))}{4^m (q;q)_m (q^k;q)_m} \leq (1-q^m) \frac{(c)^{m-1} (a+2(m-1))}{4^{m-1} (q;q)_{m-1} (q^k;q)_{m-1}}$$

$$(1 - q^{m+1}) \frac{(c)^m (a+2m)}{4^m (q;q)_m (q^k;q)_m} \le (1 - q^m) \frac{4(c)^m (a+2(m-1))}{4^m c (q;q)_{m-1} (q^k;q)_{m-1}}$$

$$(1 - q^{m+1}) \frac{(a+2m)}{(q;q)_m (q^k;q)_m} \le (1 - q^m) \frac{4 (a+2(m-1))}{c (q;q)_{m-1} (q^k;q)_{m-1}}$$

$$(1 - q^{m+1}) \frac{c (a+2m)}{4 (1-q^m)(1-q^{k+m-1})} \le (1 - q^m) \frac{(a+2(m-1))}{(q;q)_{m-1} (q^k;q)_{m-1}}$$

$$\frac{(1-q^{m+1}) c (a+2m)}{4 (1-q^m)(1-q^{k+m-1})} \le \frac{(1-q^m) (a+2(m-1))}{1}$$
This is a solution of the second of

This is equivalent

For
$$a = 0$$
, $= c = 0$, $a \ge 0$, $a \ge 0$, $a \ge 0$.
$$(1 - q^{m+1}) \cdot 0 \cdot (0 + 2m) \le 4 \cdot (1 - q^m)^2 \cdot (1 - q^{k+m-1}) \cdot (a + 2(m-1))$$
 For $a = 0$, $= c = 0$, $a \ge 0$, $c \ge 0$.
$$(1 - q^{m+1}) \cdot 0 \cdot (0 + 2m) \le 4 \cdot (1 - q^m)^2 \cdot (1 - q^{k+m-1}) \cdot (0 + 2(m-1))$$

$$0 \le 4 \cdot (1 - q^m)^2 \cdot (1 - q^{k+m-1}) \cdot 2(m-1)$$

Put m = 2

$$0 \le 4 \left(1 - q^2\right)^2 \left(1 - q^{k+2-1}\right) 2(2-1))$$

$$0 \le 4 \left(1 - q^2\right)^2 \left(1 - q^k\right) 2(1))$$

$$0 \le 4 \left(1 - q^2\right)^2 \left(1 - q^{k+1}\right) . 2$$

$$0 \le 8 \left(1 - q^2\right)^2 \left(1 - q^{k+1}\right)$$

$$8 \left(1 - q^2\right)^2 \left(1 - q^{k+1}\right) \ge 0$$

Theorem 3.2: Let $a \ge 0$, $c \ge 0$ and

$$16(1-\mathfrak{q})^{2m-2}(1-\mathfrak{q})^{2m}(1-\mathfrak{q})^{k+m}(a+4m-4) \ge c^2 (1-\mathfrak{q})^{2m+1} (a+4m), \quad \mathfrak{q} \in (0,1).$$

Then, the normalized the q-Generalized Dini function, where

Proof. Consider

$$H(r)=rac{x}{1-x^2}$$
 $D_m=rac{(1-\mathfrak{q}^m)}{1-\mathfrak{q}}a_m$, $orall \ m \ \epsilon \ N$, $rac{q}{\epsilon} \ (0,1).$

So that $D(x; q) = x + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} a_m x^m$ where

$$a_{m} = \frac{(c)^{m-1} (a + 2(m-1))}{4^{m-1} a (q; q)_{m-1} (q^{k}; q)_{m-1}}$$

$$D_{m} = \frac{(1 - q^{m})}{1 - q} a_{m}, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, q \in (0,1).$$

So that

$$D_m = \frac{(1 - q^m)}{1 - q} \frac{(c)^{m-1} (a + 2(m-1))}{4^{m-1} a (q; q)_{m-1} (q^k; q)_{m-1}}$$

Put m=1

$$\begin{split} D_1 &= \frac{(1 - \mathfrak{q}^1)}{1 - \mathfrak{q}} \; \frac{(c)^{1-1} \; (a + 2(1 - 1))}{4^{1-1} \; a \; (\mathfrak{q} \; ; \mathfrak{q})_{1-1} (\mathfrak{q}^k ; \mathfrak{q})_{1-1}} \\ D_1 &= \frac{(1 - \mathfrak{q})}{1 - \mathfrak{q}} \; \frac{(c)^0 \; (a + 2(0))}{4^0 \; a \; (\mathfrak{q} \; ; \mathfrak{q})_0 (\mathfrak{q}^k ; \mathfrak{q})_0} \\ &= \frac{(1 - \mathfrak{q})}{1 - \mathfrak{q}} \; \frac{1(a + 0)}{1 \cdot a \cdot 1.1} \\ &= \frac{a}{a} = \; 1 \end{split}$$

Put m=3

$$D_{3} = \frac{(1 - q^{3})}{1 - q} \frac{(c)^{3-1} (a + 2(3 - 1))}{4^{3-1} a (q; q)_{3-1} (q^{k}; q)_{3-1}}$$

$$D_{3} = \frac{(1 - q)(1 + q + q^{2})}{1 - q} \frac{(c)^{2} (a + 2(2))}{4^{2} a (q; q)_{2} (q^{k}; q)_{2}}$$

$$D_{3} = (1 + q + q^{2}) \frac{(c)^{2} (a + 4)}{16 a (q; q)_{2} (q^{k}; q)_{2}}$$

It suggest that $D_3 \leq 1$ By q-shifted definition

$$(1+q+q^2) \frac{(c)^2 (a+4)}{16 a (1-q) (1-q^2) (1-q^k) (1-q^{k+1})} \le 1$$

$$\frac{(1+q+q^2) (c)^2 (a+4)}{16 a (1-q-q^2+q^3) (1-q^k-q^{k+1}+q^{2k+1})} \le 1$$

Next we will explain that.

Fill explain that.
$$\frac{D_{2m+1} \leq D_{m-1} \quad (m \in N - \{1\})}{(1 - q^{2m+1})} \frac{D_{m-1} \quad (m \in N - \{1\})}{(c)^{2m+1-1} \quad (a + 2(2m + 1 - 1))} \frac{(a + 2(2m + 1 - 1))}{(a + 2(2m - 1 - 1))} \frac{(a + 2(2m - 1))}{(a + 2(2m$$

By q-shifted definition

$$\frac{c^2(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m+1})(a+4m)}{(1-\mathfrak{q}^2)(1-\mathfrak{q}^4)...(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m-2})(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m})(1-\mathfrak{q}^k)(1-\mathfrak{q}^{k+2})...(1-\mathfrak{q}^{k+2m-2})(1-\mathfrak{q}^{k+2m})} \\ \leq \frac{16(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m-1})(a+4m-4)}{(1-\mathfrak{q}^2)(1-\mathfrak{q}^4)...(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m-2})(1-\mathfrak{q}^k)(1-\mathfrak{q}^{k+2})...(1-\mathfrak{q}^{k+2m-2})} \\ \frac{c^2(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m+1})(a+4m)}{(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m})(1-\mathfrak{q}^{k+2m})} \leq 16(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m-1})(a+4m-4) \\ c^2(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m+1})(a+4m) \leq 16(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m-1})(a+4m-4)(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m})(1-\mathfrak{q}^{k+2m})$$

For
$$a=0$$
, $=c=0$, $a\geq 0$, $c\geq 0$.
$$0^2(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m+1})(0+4m) \leq 16(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m-1})(0+4m-4)(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m})(1-\mathfrak{q}^{k+2m})$$
$$0\times (1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m+1})(4m) \leq 16(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m-1})(4m-4)(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m})(1-\mathfrak{q}^{k+2m})$$
$$0\leq 16(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m-1})(4m-4)(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m})(1-\mathfrak{q}^{k+2m})$$
$$0\leq 16\times 4(m-1)(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m-1})(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m})(1-\mathfrak{q}^{k+2m})$$
$$0\leq 64(m-1)(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m-1})(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m})(1-\mathfrak{q}^{k+2m})$$
$$64(m-1)(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m-1})(1-\mathfrak{q}^{2m})$$
64. Hence Proved.

References

- Ismail, M.E.H.; Merkes, E.; Styer, D. A generalization of starlike functions. Complex Var. Theory Appl. 1990, 14, 77–84.
- Sahoo, S.K.; Sharma, N.L. On a generalization of close-to-convex functions. Ann. Polon. Math. 2015, 113, 93–108.3.
- Owa, S.; Srivastava, H.M. Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions. Can. J. Math. 1987, 39, 1057–1077.
- Dziok, J.; Srivastava, H.M. Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function. Appl. Math. Comput. 1999, 103, 1–13.
- Dziok, J.; Srivastava, H.M. Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function. Intg. Transf. Spec. Funct. 2003, 14, 7–18. Institute for Exception
- Raghavendar, K.; Swaminathan, A. Close-to-convexity of basic hypergeometric functions using their Taylor coefficients. J. Math. Appl. 2012, 35, 111–125
- Askey, R. (1973). Grünbaum's inequality for Bessel functions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 41(1), 122-124.
- Almkvist, G., & Berndt, B. (1988). Gauss, Landen, Ramanujan, the arithmetic-geometric mean, ellipses, π , and the Ladies Diary. The American Mathematical Monthly, 95(7), 585-608.
- Anderson, G. D., Vamanamurthy, M. K., & Vuorinen, M. (1997). Conformal invariants, inequalities, and quasiconformal maps.
- Andrews, G. E., Askey, R., Roy, R., Roy, R., & Askey, R. (1999). *Special functions* (Vol. 71, pp. xvi+664). Cambridge: Cambridge university press.

- Baricz, Á. (2002). Applications of the admissible functions method for some differential equations. *Pure Mathematics and Applications*, 13(4), 433-440.
- Baricz, A. (2006). Bessel transforms and Hardy space of generalized Bessel functions. *Mathematica*, 48(71), 127-136.
- Baricz, A. (2010). Generalized Bessel functions of the first kind. Lecture Notes in Mathematics/Springer-Verlag.
- Baricz, Á., & Baricz, Á. (2010). Geometric properties of generalized Bessel functions. Generalized Bessel functions of the first kind, 23-69.
- Bansal, D., & Prajapat, J. K. (2016). Certain geometric properties of the Mittag-Leffler functions. Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, 61(3), 338-350.
- Carlson, B. C., & Shaffer, D. B. (1984). Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions. SIAM *Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 15(4), 737-745.
- De Branges, L. (1985). A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture. *Acta Mathematica*, 154(1), 137-152.
- Din, M. U., Raza, M., Xin, Q., Yalçin, S., & Malik, S. N. (2022). Close-to-Convexity of q-Bessel-Wright Functions. *Mathematics*, 10(18), 3322.
- Din, M. U., Mushtaq, S., & Maqbool, I. (2024). Close-to-Convexity of q-Bessel Struve function. (Submitted).
- Iwasaki, K., Kimura, H., Shimemura, S., & Yoshida, M. (2013). From Gauss to Painlevé: a modern theory of special functions (Vol. 16). Springer Science & Business Media.
- Merkes, E. P., & Scott, W. T. (1961). Starlike hypergeometric functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 12(6), 885-888.